United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

	United States of America			
	v.)) Case No. 5:16-CR-224-1H			
	BARRY STANLEY WASHINGTON)			
	Defendant)			
	DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL			
require	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts that the defendant be detained pending trial.			
	Part I—Findings of Fact			
\Box (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted			
	of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal			
	jurisdiction had existed - that is			
	□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.			
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.			
	☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in			
	*			
	a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:			
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:			
	☐ a minor victim			
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon			
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250			
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.			
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the \text{date of conviction} \text{the defendant's release}			
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).			
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
	Alternative Findings (A)			
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense			
	☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in			
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta the defendant's appearance and the safety of the co	ablished by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure immunity.	
Alternative Findings (B)			
□ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not a	appear.	
Y (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will enda	nger the safety of another person or the community.	
Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by ✓ clear and convincing evidence □ a preponderance of the evidence that □ Based on the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community. For the reasons indicated below there is no condition, or combination of conditions, that can be imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and/or safety of another person or the community.			
	The nature of the charges The apparent strength of the government's case The indication of substance abuse The defendant's criminal history Other:	The lack of stable employment The lack of a suitable custodian The fact that the charges arose while on state probation The history of probation revocations	
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention			
in a corresponding a order of U	ections facility separate, to the extent practicable, fro appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable	orney General or a designated representative for confinement om persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody to opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility court appearance.	
Date: S	eptember 27, 2016	Robert T Numbers II. Judge's signature	

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title